

Patent Application
Attorney's Docket No.: MET-021US2

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Li et al.
Application No.: 09/817,538
Filed: March 26, 2001
Confirmation No.: 6847
Group: 1635
Examiner: K. Lacourciere
For: ANTISENSE OLIGONUCLEOTIDE INHIBITION OF SPECIFIC
HISTONE DEACETYLASE ISOFORMS

Mail Stop 16
Director of the USPTO
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REQUEST FOR REFUND

Dear Sir:

It has come to the attention of Applicants that Notice of Appeal fees have been submitted to the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office on two separate occasions. A Notice of Appeal was filed October 3, 2003 and the requisite fee of \$160.00 submitted therewith. Applicants then filed an Appeal Brief on December 22, 2003. After the filing of the Appeal Brief, the Examiner reopened prosecution with a new Office Action. A response to the Office Action was filed, along with a second Notice of Appeal, on September 7, 2004 and a fee of \$165.00 for the second Notice of Appeal was submitted therewith. Applicants enclose copies of the returned postcards for both filings.

Because the Examiner reopened prosecution after Applicants filed their Appeal Brief, but prior to a decision on the merits by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, the fee paid for the Notice of Appeal on October 3, 2003, should have been applied to the subsequent Notice of Appeal (see MPEP 1208.02). Therefore, Applicants respectfully request a refund in the amount of \$165.00.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Li et al.
U.S. Serial No. 09/817,538
Page 2

If any discussion of this communication would be helpful, the undersigned attorney can be reached by telephone at 781-933-6706.

Dated: November 5 2004

Keown & Associates
500 West Cummings Park
Suite 1200
Woburn, MA 01801

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph C. Zuccherro
Joseph C. Zuccherro
Registration No. 55,762
Attorney for Applicants

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Application Number	09/817,538	
	Filing Date	March 26, 2001	
	First Named Inventor	LI	
	Art Unit	1635	
	Examiner Name	Schmidt, Mary M.	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	5	Attorney Docket Number	MET-021US2

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance communication to Technology Center (TC)
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached	<input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
<input type="checkbox"/> After Final	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information
<input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation	<input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter
<input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
<input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer	
<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund	
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application	Remarks 1. Post Card 2. Copies of returned, date-stamped postcards	
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT	
Firm or Individual name	Joseph C. Zuccherro, Reg. No. 55,762
Signature	<i>Joseph C. Zuccherro</i>
Date	November 5 2004

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop 16, Director of the USPTO, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.	
Typed or printed name	Melissa SIMPSON
Signature	<i>Melissa Simpson</i>
Date	11/5/04

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Li et al.

Application No.: 09/817,538

Group: 1635

Filed: March 26, 2001

Examiner: K. Lacourciere

Confirmation No.: 6847

For: ANTISENSE OLIGONUCLEOTIDE INHIBITION OF SPECIFIC
HISTONE DEACETYLASE ISOFORMS

Mail Stop 16
Director of the USPTO
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REQUEST FOR REFUND

Dear Sir:

It has come to the attention of Applicants that Notice of Appeal fees have been submitted to the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office on two separate occasions. A Notice of Appeal was filed October 3, 2003 and the requisite fee of \$160.00 submitted therewith. Applicants then filed an Appeal Brief on December 22, 2003. After the filing of the Appeal Brief, the Examiner reopened prosecution with a new Office Action. A response to the Office Action was filed, along with a second Notice of Appeal, on September 7, 2004 and a fee of \$165.00 for the second Notice of Appeal was submitted therewith. Applicants enclose copies of the returned postcards for both filings.

Because the Examiner reopened prosecution after Applicants filed their Appeal Brief, but prior to a decision on the merits by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, the fee paid for the Notice of Appeal on October 3, 2003, should have been applied to the subsequent Notice of Appeal (see MPEP 1208.02). Therefore, Applicants respectfully request a refund in the amount of \$165.00.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY


Li et al.
U.S. Serial No. 09/817,538
Page 2

If any discussion of this communication would be helpful, the undersigned attorney can be reached by telephone at 781-933-6706.

Dated: November 5 2004

Keown & Associates
500 West Cummings Park
Suite 1200
Woburn, MA 01801

Respectfully submitted,



Joseph C. Zuccherro
Registration No. 55,762
Attorney for Applicants

BEST AVAILABLE COPY


MET-021US2
(1002/022)
By First Class Mail: Transmittal Letter; Notice of Appeal; Petition
for 2 Month Extension of Time; Fee Transmittal; Fee Check: \$365.00

Applicant: Li et al.

Serial No: 09/817,538

Attorney: Wayne A. Keown, Ph.D., Reg. No. 33,923

Date: September 30, 2003



BEST AVAILABLE COPY

MET-021US2

By First Class Mail: Transmittal Form; Fee
Transmittal; Amendment; Notice of Appeal; Fee
Check in the Amount of \$165.00

Applicant: Li et al.

Serial No: 09/817,538

Attorney: Joseph C. Zuccherro, Reg. No. 55,762

Date: September 2, 2004



BEST AVAILABLE COPY